



1st Half Yearly Monitoring Report 2011-12
of
MANIPUR UNIVERSITY
(Monitoring Institute Sarva Shiksha Aviyana, Manipur University)
MI/SSA/MU

Nodal Officer
DR. L. LEIREN SINGH
Head Education Department,
Manipur University

On
MDM for the State of
MANIPUR

Period: *1st October 2011 to 31st March 2012*

District Covered
Churachandpur District
Imphal West District

2011-2012

REPORT ON MONITORING AND SUPERVISION

Period : 1st October 2011 to 31st March 2012
Name of State : Manipur
Name of MI : Department of Education,
Manipur University, Canchipur
Districts : Churhandpur and Imphal West district

Name of Districts Visited:

Name of District	Total No. of School	No. of School visited
Churhandpur District	497	40
Imphal West District	524	40



CONTENT

	Page No.
Acknowledgement	
Churchandpur District Map	
Imphal West District Map	
1. General Information of Churachandpur and Imphal West Districts	5 - 7
2. Churachandpur District	8 - 12
3. Imphal West District	13 - 17
4. Executive Summary of Churachandpur and Imphal West Districts.	18 - 21
5. Overall performance	22 - 23
6. Photo Plates of MDM	
8. List of sample Schools	
(a). Churachandpur District	Annexure I
(b). Imphal West District	Annexure II
9. Table of Sample schools giving detailed information about Mid-Day Meal (MDM) programme	
(a). Churachandpur District	Annexure III
(b). Imphal West District	Annexure IV

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
MANIPUR UNIVERSITY, CANCHIPUR**

Acknowledgement

This SSA monitoring report covers 2 (two) districts of Manipur one each for Hill and Valley districts of Manipur namely Churachandpur and Imphal West. There are many persons to whom I owe my gratitude for successful completion of this monitoring works of SSA and MDM programmes for the 1st phase of the second year 2011-12 in the 2 (two) districts of Manipur for the period 01.10.2011 to 31.03.2012. The work has been undertaken to pave the way for the effective implementation at the grass root level with community participation of the centred sponsored schemes of SSA and MDM for the two districts for achieving the goals of universalisation of Elementary Education (UEE) for the children in the age group 6-14 years. The need is all the more increased after the passing of the Rights to Education Act 2009 and introduction of Rashtriya Madhyamic Shiksha Abhiyan (RAMSA).

I would like to acknowledge the helping hands of the State Project Director (SPD) SSA, State Mission Authority, Manipur, Additional State Project Director, State Coordinator (REMS) Smt. T. Binodini Devi and other officials of SSA, Mr. Joseph Lalrongthang, ZEO, Churachandpur and Shri Gopimohon Maibam, ZEO, Imphal west along with their staff members for the level of cooperation that we received from them in collecting the required field data for the various interventions of this monitoring work.

I also thanked all the respondents of the Sample schools in these two districts for the cooperation given to the evaluators of the M.U. monitoring team. I also acknowledged the helping hands of the Dean, School of Social Sciences, Registrar and Deputy Finance Officer, Manipur University, Canchipur for rendering timely help in the execution of this work with proper mission and vision. Last, but not the least, I would also like to thank all the members of the monitoring team namely Th.Esharani, Th. Binita and Th. Roshni without their timely efforts, the report would not have completed in its present form.

Canchipur,

The 16th July, 2012.

(Dr.L.Leiren Singh)
Nodal Officer, M.I., SSA
Deptt. Of Education,
Manipur University
Canchipur.

GENERAL INFORMATION: CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT

Sl.No.	Subject	Details
1	Name of Monitoring Institution	Education Department, Manipur University, Canchipur
2	Period of the report	1 st October 2011 to 31 st March, 2012
3	Funds Released for the period	
4	Number of Districts allotted	2(two) Districts: 1) Churachandpur 2) Imphal West
5	Name of the District for which information is given	Churachandpur District
6	Name of the habitations served by the school in the district	Tuaitengphai, New Bazar, Mission Veng, Saikot, Rengkai, Keirapkom, Singhat, Bethel, Tuibong, Mata Churachandpur, A.Q Lamka West, Moulnom, Koite, Phaimol, Sagang, Ningthiching, Toka Kabui, Ukhatampak, Molpheitampak, Songdoh Chehpu, Singhat, Keirap Aimol, Churachandpur, Saipum, Kangvai, Mata Maultam, Lanva, Kha Aimol, Vumgbuk, Suangpehmun, Thangkai, Leisang, Lamsang, Samkholal, Muallum, Leijangphai, Thanlon, Tuiringphaisen, Khoirentak Khunou, Hiangdung, Tuilaphai
7	Number of elementary schools monitored (Primary and upper primary to be counted separately) in the district	Primary-2 and Upper Primary schools-37
8	Number of schools covered in the District allotted	40
9	Type of schools visited	
	a. Primary school	2
	b. Upper Primary school	-
	c. Upper primary schools with primary classes	37
	d. Schools sanctioned with Kitchen-cum-store	-
	e. Schools having cook-cum-helpers engaged as per norm	5 Schools
10	Whether the draft report has been shared with the Director of the Nodal Department implementing MDMs whether the MI has received any observation from the Directorate	The draft report of MI for the implemenataion of MDM Programme in Churachandpur and Imphal West districts of Manipur had been personally handed over to the Director of Education (S), Lamphelpat on 13/06/2012. But no feedback is coming from their side till Monday, 16 th July 2012. Hence, the report had been treated as final report.
11	Before sending the report to the GOI whether the MI has shared report with SPO	

GENERAL INFORMATION: IMPHAL WEST DISTRICT

Sl.No.	Subject	Details
1.	Name of the Monitoring Institution	Education Department, Manipur University.
2.	Period of the Report	1 st October 2011 to 31 st March, 2012.
3.	Funds released for the period	
4.	No. of District allotted	2 (two) districts.
5.	District's Name	Imphal West District.
6.	Name of the habitations served by the schools in the district.	Lamboikhongnangkhong, Sagolband Tera Akham Leikai, Tera Bazar, Takyel Khongbal, Khumanthem Leikai, Uripok Koisnam Leikai, Singjamei Oinam Thingel, Keithelmanbi, Kanto Khullen, Awang Leikinthabi, Kachi Khul, Keishamthong top leirak, Phayeng awing Leikai, Wahengbam Leikai, Uripok Sorbon thingel, Thounaojam Leikai, Uripok Polem Leikai, Thounaojam Leikai, Lotang, Khullem Leikai, Uripok Yambem Leikai, Kongnang Pheidekpi Aheibam Leikai, Chingtham Leikai, Konjeng lamdong Awang Leikai, Upokpi maning leikai, Yumnam Huidrom Mayai leikai, Top Siphai Mayai Leikai, Santipur Makha, Naorem Chaprou, Mayang Imphal, Thana Leiren Sana Mamang Leikai, Chabung Company Awang Leikai, Hayel Mayai Leikai, Bengoon Mamang Leikai, Komlakhong, Heigumyangbi, Sekmai jin Awang Leikai, Thongam Makha, Laphupat Tera Mayai Leikai, Laphupat Tera Hiyangkhong, Phoubakchao Makha Leikai.
7.	Number of Elementary schools monitored (Primary and Upper Primary to be counted separately) in the district.	Primary – 22 Upper Primary – 18.
8.	What number of schools coverd in the District allotted.	40
9.	Types of Schools visited	
	(a) Primary School	22
	(b) Upper Primary School	18
	(c) Upper Primary schools with Primary classes	
	(d) School sanctioned with	

	Kitchen cum Store	
	(e) Schools having Cook cum Helpers engaged as per norm	25
10.	Whether the draft report has been shared with the Director of the Nodal Department implementing MDMs whether the MI has received any observation from the Directorate	The draft report of MI for the implemenataion of MDM Programme in Churachandpur and Imphal West districts of Manipur had been personally handed over to the Director of Education (S), Lamphelpat on 13/06/2012. But no feedback is coming from their side till Monday, 16 th July 2012. Hence, the report had been treated as final report.
11.	Before sending the reports to the GOI whether the MI has shared report with SPO.	

DISTRICT : CHURACHANDPUR**1. MID-DAY-MEAL PROGRAMME;****Table 1.1 : Regularity of serving Hot Cooked Meal.**

	N = 39	%
Serving	39	100%
Irregular	39	100%
Not Serving	-	-

Table 1.1. reveals that in all the sample schools visited, Mid-Day-Meal was served in the first half of the monitoring period i.e. Oct. 2011 – Dec., 2011. But it was not served in the second half of the monitoring period i.e. January 2012 – March 2012 due to the unrelease of the foodgrains. None of the schools served Mid-Day-Meal regularly due to the untimely release of the foodgrains.

The range of serving Mid-Day-Meal in the sample schools visited are :-

(i)1-5 days – Leisang Jr. H/s, Mata Maultum P/S, Hiangdung J.B.(Aided), Muallum, Suangpehmun JB, Leijangphai Govt. H/S.

(ii)6-10 days – Nipru Khoirentak Khunou Jr.H/S, Tuiringphaisen Govt. Jr. H/S, keirap Aimol H/S, Vungbuk Jr. H/S, Sagang H/S., Renglerhoi Aided Jr. H/S., New Bazar Jr. H/S., Lamzang Govt. H/S., Kumbipukhrimapal Jr. H/S., Samkholal Jr. H/S., Saikot H/S., Thanlon H/S, Saipum Jr. H/S, Tuaitengphai Govt. H/S.

(iii)11-15 days – Kangvai H/S., Tokpa Kabui Govt. Jr. H/S., Phungkhothang H/S./, Ningthiching Jr. H/S., J. Phaimol L.P.S., Kha Aimol Jr. H/S, Songdo Chehpu Govt. J.B.S., INA Memorial H/S., Singhat H/S., Molpheitampak Jr. H/S., Hill Model H/S., Thangkhai Govt. H/S., Vimala Raina H/S., Gandhi Memorial H/S., Lanva Model H/S., Rengkai H/S., Tuibong Govt. Jr. H/S., Vungzagen H/S., Churachandpur H/S.,

Table 1.2. : Cooking Cost paid to Schools.

	N=39	%
Cash	-	-
Banking Channel	39	100%

Table 1.2 reveals that 100% of the sample schools received the cooking cost through Banking Channel. But there is delay in delivering cooking cost in the schools. Hence, MDM could not be served as per the stipulations of the scheme.

Table 1.3 : Social Equity.

Discrimination	N = 39	%
Yes	-	-
No	39	100%

Table 1.3 reveals that in the sample schools visited, there is no gender or Caste or Community discriminations in cooking or serving or in making seating arrangements for the purposes of MDM.

Table 1.4 : Displayed Weekly Menu

	N = 39	%
Yes	1	2.56%
No.	38	97.43%

Table 1.4 reveals that 97.43% of the schools had not displayed their weekly menu at a place noticeable to Community. Whereas, one school displayed weekly menu at a place noticeable to community. The name of the school is Rengkai H/S.

Table 1.5 : Quality of Meal

Quality	N = 39	%
Poor	2	5.12%
Satisfactory	-	-
Good	37	94.8%
Very Good	-	-
Excellent	-	-

Table 1.5 reveals that 94.8% of the sample schools received good quality foodgrains whereas 5.12% of the sample schools reported to have received poor quality foodgrains. The schools reporting that they are receiving poor quality food grains are L. Phaimol LPS, Hiangdung Jr.H/S (Aided).

Table 1.6 : Quantity of Meal.

	N = 39	%
Adequate	19	48.71%
Inadequate	20	51.28%

Table 1.6 reveals that 48.71% of the sample schools visited received Adequate quantity of food grains, whereas 51.28% of the sample schools received inadequate quantity of food grains.

Table 1.7 : School Health Card Maintained

	N = 39	%
Yes	-	-
No	39	100%

Table 1.7 reveals that none of the schools visited maintained Health cards for Children studying in those schools under the scheme of National Rural Health Mission undertaken by the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Manipur . None of the schools have given

micronutrients (Iron, Folic acid, vitamins –A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically. The matter should be taken care of at an early date.

Table 1.8 : Status of Cooks.

Category	N = 39	%
SC	-	-
ST	39	100%
OBC	-	-
General	-	-

Table 1.8 reveals that 100% of the sample schools had the facility of Cooks in the Schools. All the schools Cooks are belonging to ST category as the district is predominantly ST district.

Table 1.9 : Kitchen Shed-cum-Stores.

	N = 39	%
Kuccha	12	30.76%
Semi-pucca	2	5.12%
No Kitchen	25	86.20%

Table 1.9 reveals that 86.20 % of the sample schools visited have no kitchen sheds, 30.76% of the sample schools have kitchen-sheds but kuccha kitchens whereas 5.12% of the sample schools have the semi- pucca kitchen sheds. None of the schools have store-rooms in the kitchen sheds. The name of the schools having semi-pucca kitchen sheds are – Saipum Govt. Jr. H/S and Gandhi Memorial H/S.

Table 1.10 : Cooks – cum – Helpers

	N = 39	%
Yes	5	12.82%
No	34	87.17%

Table 1.10 reveals that 12.82% of the sample schools have the facility of cooks and helpers engaged in the schools as per GOI norms. The name of schools having such facilities are - Phungkothang H/S, New Bazar Jr. H/S., Ningthiching Jr. H/S., Muallum JBS and Molpheitampak Jr. H/S. Remuneration paid to them was Rs. 1500/- per month, but payment was irregular. Whereas 87.17 % schools have no facility of Cooks and Helpers.

Table 1.11 : Type of Water used for Cooking and Drinking purposes.

Category	N = 39	%
Pond water	1	2.56%
Tape Water	14	35.89%
River Water	-	-
Hand Pump Water	1	2.56%
Rain Water	21	53.84%
Well Water	2	5.12%

Table 1.11 reveals that 53.84% of the sample schools visited used rain water for cooking and drinking purposes, 35.89% of the sample schools used Tape water, 5.12% of the sample schools used Well water. 2.56% of the sample schools used the pond and hand pump water. Hence, water is a problem in the effective implementation of MDM as such a number of water borne diseases are also likely to spread due to taking of unsafe water.

Table 1.12 : Kinds of fuel used for cooking.

Type of fuel	N = 39	%
Fire Wood	39	100%
Gas based	-	-
Kerosene Stove	-	-

Table 1.12 reveals that 100% of the sample schools visited used firewood as fuel for cooking purposes as LPG and kerosene stoves are not used in the monitored schools. As such, MDM kitchens of the district happened to be one of the sources of air pollution having the problem of health hazards.

Table 1.13 : Availability of Utensils of MDM

	N= 39	%
Adequate	-	-
Inadequate	39	100%

Table 1.13 reveals that 100% of the sample schools visited have inadequate utensils for cooking and serving food. Hence, the sample schools are facing the problem of dearth of utensils at the time of serving MDM.

Table 1.14 : Safety and Hygiene Measures

Safety and Hygiene	N=39	%
Yes	26	66.66%
No	13	33.33

Table 1.14 reveals that 66.66% of the sample schools visited, are having the safety and hygienic environment for the Children whereas 33.33% of the sample schools are not having safety and hygienic environment for the children studying in these schools. This aspect should be given proper attention by all possible means.

Table 1.15 :Community participation and Awareness.

	N=39	%
Participative	-	-
Not participative	39	100%

Table 1.15 reveals that in 100% of the sample schools visited, SMC members were not properly looking after in the form of supervision and monitoring of the MDM programme for its effective implementation. Hence the level of community participation and awareness need to be improved.

Table 1.16 : Inspection and Supervision of MDM programme

	N = 39	%
Frequently	1	2.56%
Monthly	-	-
Quarterly	-	-
Yearly	1	2.56%
Occasionally	37	94.8%

Table 1.16 reveals that in the sample schools visited, 94.8% of the schools have been inspected for ascertaining the work for implementation of MDM programme occasionally by Block level officials, 2.56% of the schools have been inspected frequently and 2.56% of the schools have been inspected yearly by the concerned officials.

Table 1.17 : Impact of MDM

	N = 39	%
Enrollment	29	74.35%
Improvement in General Well being	2	5.12%
Nutritional Status	8	20.51%

Table 1.17 reveals that 74.35% of the Schools have the benefit of improvement in the enrollment, attendance of Children in Schools, 20.51% of the sample schools improved the Nutritional Status of the Children, remaining 5.12% of the schools improved the general well-being of the students by means of sharing of experience

DISTRICT – IMPHAL WEST

1. MID-DAY-MEAL PROGRAMME

Table 1.1 Regularity serving of hot cooked meal

	(N=40)	%
Yes	40	100%
No	-	-

Table 1.1 reveals that 100% of the total sample schools visited, were regularly serving hot cooked meals. But is only for 3 (three) months i.e. October, November and December. The numbers of MDM being served during these three months period are at the range of;

- i) 1-5 days is Awang Leikinthabi Jr. H/S.
- ii) 6-10 days are Bengoon Jr. H/S, Heinoukhongnembu P/S, Mayang Imphal Heigum Yangbi P/S, Samusang Santipur P/S, Phayeng Govt. P/S
- iii) 11-15 days are Thounaojam Leikai P/S, Hayel P/S , Mahatma Gandhi Samarak Govt. Jr H/S, Ibungosana Sanamacha P/S, Takyel Khongbal P/S, Khullem Leikai Jr. H/S, Yumnam Huidrom P/S, Laphupat Tera Girls P/S, Khongnang Pheidekpi P/S, Phouakchao Makha P/S, Laphupat Tera Jr. H/S , Naorem Chaprou Jr. H/S, Leiphrakpam Makha Leikai P/S, Chingtham Leikai Popular Jr. H/S Upokpi P/S, Thongam P/S, Chabung Company P/S, Mayang Imphal Thana Leirensana Jr. H/S.
- iv) 16-20 days are Nungthel Leima P/S, Komlakhong P/S, Hangul Ahallup P/S, Lamboikhongnangkhang P/S, Ngasi Rastralipi H/S, Wahegbam Leikai Jr. H/S, Kanto Khullen Jr. H/S, Oinam Thingel Govt. P/S, Thounaojam Leikai Jr. H/S, Kachikhul Govt. Jr. H/S, Khamlangba Jr. H/S and Sakhi Devi H/S.
- v) The Schools which does not given us the exact days of MDM Programme are Tera P/S, Khwai Jr. H/S, Loitang Jr H/S, Keithelmanbi Jr. H/S.

Table 1.2 Regularity of Delivering food grains to School level

	(N=40)	%
Yes	-	-
No	40	100%

Table 1.2 reveals that 100% of the sample schools visited are having the problems of delayed of delivering food grains to schools.

Table 1.3 Regularity of Delivering Cooking Cost to School level

	(N=40)	%
Yes	-	-
No	40	100%

Table 1.3 reveals that 100% of the sample schools visited are delayed in delivering cooking cost to school level which was paid through banking channel.

Table 1.4 Social Equity

	(N=40)	%
Yes	1	2.5%
No	39	97.5%

Table 1.4 reveals that majority of the sample schools visited, have no gender or Caste or Community discrimination, whereas only 2.5% of the sample school visited have gender or Caste or Community discrimination was found in selecting a cook or in serving meals i.e. Upokpi P/S.

Table 1.5 Variety of Menu

Menu	(N=40)	%
Display	-	-
Not Display	40	100%

Table 1.5 reveals that 100% of the total sample schools visited, does not displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to community.

Table 1.6 Deciding the Menu

	(N=40)	%
Headmaster	34	85%
Cook	3	7.5%
Students	2	5%
Teaching staff	1	2.5%

Table 1.6 reveals that majority of the sample schools visited decided the menu by the Head Master/Head Mistress, in 7.5% of the sample schools visited, menu was decided by the Cook (The name of the schools are Laphupat Tera Jr. H/S, Nungthel Leima P/S, Samusang Santipur P/S) , in 5% of the schools menu was decided by students (The name of the schools are Bengoon Jr. H/S and KhongnangPheidekpi P/S), in 2.5% of the school menu was decided by teaching staff i.e. Mayang Imphal Thana Leirensana Jr. H/S.

Table 1.7 Quality of food grain

	(N=40)	%
Good	24	60%
Poor	6	15%
Average	10	25%

Table 1.7 reveals that 60% of the sample schools visited have good quality food grain, 15% of the sample schools visited have poor quality food grain, whereas 25% of the sample schools visited have average quality of food grain.

Table 1.8 Quantity of food grain

	(N=40)	%
Adequate	30	75%
Inadequate	10	25%

Table 1.8 reveal that 75% of the sample schools visited have adequate quantity of food grains, whereas 25% of the school have inadequate quantity of food grains.

Table 1.9 Health Card Status

	(N=40)	%
Yes	1	2.5%
No	39	97.5%

Table 1.9 reveals that 2.5% of the sample schools maintained health card i.c Khamlangba Jr H/S, whereas majority of the sample schools did not maintain health card i.e 97.5% schools but children are not given micro nutrients (Iron folic Acid, Vitamin, A-dosage) and de-worming medicine and also no health check-up.

Table 1.10 Status of Cook

Category	(N=40)	%
S.C	-	-
S.T	-	-
O.B.C	2	5%
General	38	95%

95% of the total sample schools visited, had the facility of cooks in the schools and they belong to the general category, whereas 5% of the sample schools visited had the facility of cook in the schools and they belong to the OBC category, Remuneration paid to them was Rs 1500/- per month, but it was delayed. Most of the cooks belong to the under privileged class.

Table 1.11 Availability of Kitchen Shed-Cum-Storeroom

	(N=40)	%
Yes	27	87.5%
No	13	32.5%

87.5 of the sample schools visited have kitchen Shed-Cum-Store, but semi-pucca and Kuccha Kitchen sheds, whereas 32.5% of the schools do not have kitchen Shed-Cum-Store rooms facilities. The food grains are stored in the house of Head Master/Head Mistress/Cooks' house/School office room etc. The name of the schools which have no kitchens are Thounaojam Leikai P/S, Khwai Jr H/S, Kantokhullen Jr H/S, Ngasi Rastralipi H/S, Takyel Khongbal P/S, Laphupat Tera Jr H/S, Chingtham Leikai Popular Jr H/S, Yumnam Huidrom P/S, Mayang Imphal Thana Leirensana Jr H/S, Bengoon Jr H/S, Chabung Company P/S, Samusang Santipur P/S, Hayel P/S, Heinou Khongnemi P/S.

Table 1.12 System of serving and eating arrangement

	(N=40)	%
Floor	34	85%
Bench & desk	6	15%

Table 1.12 reveals that 85 % of the sample schools visited have floor arrangement for serving and eating, whereas 15% of the schools visited have bench and desk arrangement for serving and eating.

Table 1.13 Availability of water for cooking and drinking purposes.

Category	(N=40)	%
Pond water	1	2.5%
Tap water	27	67.5%
River water	7	17.5%
Hand pump water	3	7.5%
Spring water	1	2.5%
Well water	1	2.5%
Others	-	-

Table 1.13 reveals that 67.5% of the sample schools visited used tap water for cooking and drinking purposes, 17.5% of the sample schools visited used river water 7.5% of the sample schools visited used hand pump water. The name of the schools are Loitang Jr. H/S, Keithelmanbi Jr. H/S and Thongam P/S, 2.5% of the sample school visited used pond water i.e. Bengoon Jr. H/S, 2.5% of the sample school visited used rain/spring water is Kanto Khullen Jr H/S, whereas the lone school which used well water is Awang Leikinthabi Jr. H/S.

Table 1.14 Availability of Utensils of MDM programme

	(N=40)	%
Adequate	27	67.5%
Inadequate	13	32.5%

67.5% of the sample schools visited have adequate utensils for cooking and serving the food, whereas 32.5% of the sample schools visited have inadequate utensils for cooking and serving the food.

Table 1.15 Kind of fuel used

Category	(N=40)	%
Firewood	36	90%
Gas based	3	7.5%
Kerosene Oil	1	2.5%

90% of the sample schools visited used firewood as fuel for cooking purposes, 7.5% of the sample schools visited used Gas for cooking purposes (The name of the schools are Phayeng Govt. P/S, Tera P/S, Kanto Khullen Jr H/S) whereas 2.5% of the sample school visited used Kerosene oil as fuel for cooking i.e. Ngasi Rastralipi H/S.

Table 1.16 General Impression of the School Environment

Condition	N=40		Condition	N=40	
	Yes	No		Yes	No
Safety	15	25	Hygiene	1	39
Percentage	37.5%	62.5%	Percentage	2.5%	97.5%

62.5% of the sample schools visited have no fencing around the school campus, 37.5% of the sample schools visited have fencing around the school campus, whereas 97.5% of the sample schools visited have health hazard to the students because of the poor condition of the environment, 2.5% of the sample school have hygiene and safety environment i.e. Kachi Khul Jr H/S.

Each school has been instructed to have a cook, who school be given proper orientation regarding maintaining Hygiene and serving freshly cooked food to the children.

It is also the responsibilities of the cook to see that the food is not contaminated. The school management should be informed and when such unwanted development took place.

Table 1.17 Teacher encouraged the children to wash hands before and after eating

	(N=40)	%
Yes	40	100%
No	-	-

100% of the sample schools visited encouraged children to wash hands before and after eating the meal. Wash Basin construction work had been taken place in some of the sample schools.

Table 1.18 Community Participation and Awareness

	(N=40)	%
Yes	21	52.5%
No	19	47.5%

52.5% of the sample schools visited have proper Community participation and awareness about the MDM Programme, whereas 47.5% of the sample schools visited have no community participation and awareness about MDM programme.

Table 1.19 Number of Cooks

	(N=40)	%
1 Cook	15	37.5%
1 Cook and 1 helper	25	62.5%

37.5% of the sample schools visited have engaged 1(one) cook for cooking and serving MDM, whereas 62.5% of the sample schools visited have engaged 1 cook and 1 helper for cooking and serving MDM.

Table 1.20 Supervision and Inspection of MDM by Officers

frequency	(N=40)	%
1 time in a year	21	52.5%
2 time in a year	6	15%
No supervision	13	32.5%

52.5% of the sample schools visited were inspected by officers once in a year, 15% of the sample schools visited were inspected by officers twice in a year, whereas 32.5% of the sample schools visited were not inspected by the Officers.

MID-DAY-MEAL EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT

MDM is implemented in all sample schools for a period of 3(time) months i.e. October to December 2011. But it is not served daily due to untimely release of the fund. The number of MDM served in the district is not as per MDM scheme. The school authority tried their level best to serve the meal when the fund is released. The Range of serving the MDM of the sample schools are:

1 – 5 days: Leisang Jr.H/S , Mata Maultam L.P.S, Suangpuenum JB, Leijengphai Govt. H/S.

6 – 10 days - Nepru Khoirentak Khunou Jr. H/S, Juirengphaisen Govt. Jr. H/S, Keirap Aimol H/S, Vumgbuk Jr. H/S, Sagang H/.S, Renglerhoi Aided Jr. H/S New Bazar Jr.H/S ,Lamzang Govt. H/S, Kumbipukhri Mapal Jr.H/S, Samkholal Jr.H/S, Saikol H/S, Thalon H/S, Saipum Jr. H/S, Tuaitengphai Govt. H/S.

11 – 15 days – Kangui H/S, Tokpa Kabui Govt. H/S, Phungkhothaang H/S, Ningthiching Jr.H/S, J. Phaimol L P/S, Kha Aimol Jr H/S, Songolo Chepu JB Govt. INA memorial H/S, Molpheitampak Jr. H/S, HillModel H/s , Singhat H/S, Thangkhai Govt.H/S, Vimala Raina H/S, Gandhi Memorial H/S, Lanva Model H/S, CCpur H/S, There is delay in the release of Cooking lost causing disruption in the programming for its effective implementation. The Cooking cost is paid through banking Channel. Head teachers decided the menu of MDM programmed. The food items served in the schools are rice, dal/ pulses sometimes eggs and meat etc. The schools had not displayed their weekly menu at a place noticeable to community. Only one school displayed weekly menu at a place noticeable to community. The name of the school is Rengkai H/S. Students were not happy with MDM. The cook are appointed by SMC/ZEO. Most of the cooks are belonging to the under privileged group i.e. ST. But there is school which has appointed the cook against the MDM guidelines. The name of schools are Leisang Jr. H/S and Samkholal Jr. H/S in which the cooks are the wife of the village chief. The schools which have male cook's are Rengkai H/S and Saipum Govt. H/S.

No health check-up, health card, Micro-nutrients are given to the students. Most of the schools does not have kitchen shed, 2(two) of the sample schools have the semi-pucca kitchen shed. The name of the schools are Saipum Govt. Jr. H/S and Gandhi Memorial H/S. The firewood is used as fuel, the cooking process and storage of fuel are safe and no danger of posing any fire hazard. The utensils provided under the scheme are inadequate. The children

were encourage to wash their hands before and after taking the MDM. The Mid-Day-Meal programme have been inspected occasionally by the block level officers. The general awareness about the overall implementation of MDM programmed observed by MI/SSA/MU at primary level is poor ,at upper primary level it is satisfactory.

IMPACT OF MDM

DISTRICT CHURANCHANDPUR :- In the district of Churachandpur,MDM improved the child enrollment, physically developed, improvement of health and hygienic ways of life and all round developed progress in the students. It is also a part of supplementing diet and in enrich food values for children.

ISSUE RELEVANT TO MDM IMPLEMENTATION

1. Timely release of Cooking Cost along with food grains.
2. Regular payments of the Cook's remuneration.
3. Store room for food grain / other ingredients.

MID-DAY-MEAL EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF IMPHAL WEST DISTRICT

Mid-Day-Meal is being implemented in the sample SSA Schools of Imphal West district, but it is not served regularly due to unreleased of food grains and fund in time. The Cooking cost is paid through banking channel. There is delayed in releasing the cooking cost due to the unreleased of fund in time. There is no regularity of delivering food grains and cooking cost to school. In most of the sample schools, menu was decided by Head Master/Head Mistress. None of the school displayed their weekly menu at a place noticeable to the community. The quality and quantity of food grains are good. In 13(thirteen) schools, there is no kitchen sheds, remaining 27 (twenty seven) schools have kitchen shed but some of the them are kuccha and semi- pucca. Out of 40 sample schools, only 1(one) school maintained Health Card i.e. Khamlangba Jr H/S, but children are not given micro nutrients (Iron-folic Acid, Vitamin A- dosage) and de-warming medicine and no health check up. Most of the cooks belong to the General Category, 15 (fifteen) schools engaged one cook. They are Loitang Jr. H/S, Thounaojam Leikai P/S, Thounaojam Leikai Jr. H/S, Oinam Thingel Govt. P/S, Khongang Pheidekpi P/S, Phoubakchao Makha P/S, Lamphupat Tera Girls P/S, Laphupat Tera Jr. H/S, Thongam P/S, Mayang Imphal Heigum Yangbi P/S, Komlakhong P/S, Samusang Santipur P/S Nungthel Leima P/S, Leiphrakpam Makha Leikai P/S, Upokpi P/S. In almost of the sample schools serving and seating arrangements for eating is done on floor arrangement. Most of the schools used tap water for drinking and cooking purposes. The utensils provided under the scheme are inadequate in 13 (thirteen) schools. The variety of food served for MDM are dal, vegetable, meat, fish, egg etc. The monthly honorarium of the cook is Rs. 1500/- per month. The payment of the honorarium is not regular. The food grains are stored in the house of Head Master/ Head Mistress/ Office-room/Cook's house. The children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after taking the MDM but almost all the schools have done in the manner of pouring water in a bucket and also no used of hand washing soap or towel. Some of SMC members not participate in the MDM programme in the district. Supervision of MDM by Officers has been done twice in a year.

IMPACT:

The MDM Scheme has the following 2(two) impacts. They are;

- a) To increase the enrolment of the School children
- b) To improve the relationship between the teachers and learners.

ISSUES RELEVANT TO MDM IMPLEMENTATION:

- a) Increase the quality and quantity of food grains.
- b) Timely release of MDM funds and food grains
- c) Regular payment of the cook's remuneration.
- d) Taking care of school Health Service


**MONITORING INSTITUTE/SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN/MANIPUR UNIVERSITY
(MI/SSA/MU) COMMENT:**

The Mid-Day-Meal Scheme in schools was started to motivate children from economically weak backgrounds to come to schools as they would be provided with free meals. However, most of the schools are not providing MDM regularly. Each school has to appoint a cook, who should be given proper orientation regarding maintaining hygiene and serving freshly cooked food to the children but about 60% of sample schools visited in Imphal West district are not providing MDM regularly due to unreleased of fund and food grains delivering of cooking cost in time. It was for 3(three) months i.e. October, November and December only for which food grains and cooking costs are released.


It is also the responsibilities of the cook to see that the food is not contaminated and in case of contamination, the SMC should be informed. But almost all the schools do not pay regular honorarium of cook. When the cook is not present, the food is either prepared by the school authorities or it is not prepared.

There is no co-ordination between the school management and guardians of the children, most of whom are unaware of what is happening in the school.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE CARD MDM CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT



OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE CARD MDM
IMPHAL WEST DISTRICT



ANNEXURE I

The following Institutions are selected by the MI/SSA/MU for the field visit for monitoring and supervision of SSA in the Churachandpur District, Manipur

Sl.No.	Name of the school	DISE Code No.
1 .	Rengkai High School.	0100101
2.	Tuaitengphai Government High school	0104301
3.	New Bazar Junior High School	0110102
4.	Phungkhotang High School	0102501
5.	Saikot High School	0103101
6.	KGBV	
7.	Gandhi Memorial High School	0109301
8.	Tuibong Government High School	0100301
9.	Churachandpur High School	0110101
10.	Vungbuk Junior High School	0614701
11.	Hiangdung JB School	0307801
12.	Mata Moulam Primary School	0119901
13	Kumbi pukhrimapal Junior High School	0109201
14	Thangkai Govt Junior School	0110701
15.	Vimala Raina High School	0110401
16.	Saipum Govt Junior High School	0122101
17.	Vungzagen High School	0119101
18.	Samkholal Junior High school	0119201
19.	J.Phaimol LPS	0308901
20.	Lanva Model High School	0113301
21.	Singnat High School	0306201
22.	Lamzang Govt. High School	0120501
23.	Muallum UJB	0305001
24.	Renglerhoi Aided Junior High School	0209501
25.	Kangvai High School	0105501
26.	Molpheitampak High School	0610601
27.	Nipru Khoirentak Khunou Jr. High School	0208401
28.	Ningthiching Jr. High School	0613201
29.	Tokpa kabui Govt. Jr. High School	0614201
30.	Kha aimol Jr. High School	0210601
31.	Sagang High School	0202801
32.	Suangpehmum UJB	0403701
33.	Tuireng Phaisen Govt High School	0202601
34.	Hill model High School	0306203
35.	Songdoh Chehphu JBS	0206601
36.	Leisang JuniorHigh School	0107101
37.	Thanglon High School	0405401
38.	Leizang Phai Govt High School	0404201
39.	INA Memorial Govt High School	0206401
40.	Keirap Aimol High School	0209401

ANNEXURE II

The following Institutions are selected by the MI/SSA/MU for the field visit for monitoring and supervision of SSA in the Imphal West District.

Sl.No.	Name of the school	DISE Code No.
1.	Lamboi Khongnangkhang Primary school.	0100903
2.	Ibungosana Sanamacha Primary School.	0102303
3.	Takyel Khongbal Primary school.	0200302
4.	Tera Primary school.	0102305
5.	Khwai Jr. High school.	0100804
6.	Oinam Thingel Govt. Primary school.	0102203
7.	Keithelmanbi Jr. High school.	0205805
8.	Kanto Khullen Jr. High school.	0208004
9.	Awang Leikinthabi Jr. High School.	0202802
10.	Kachikhul Govt. Jr. High School.	0203101
11.	Ngasi Rastralipi High school.	0101506
12.	Phayeng Govt. Primary school.	0206703
13.	Wahengbam Leikai Jr. High School.	0101102
14.	Mahatma Gandhi Samarak Govt. Jr. High school.	0100601
15.	Thounaojam Leikai Primary school.	0102403
16.	Khamlangba Jr. High School.	0100806
17.	Loitang Jr. High School.	0202703
18.	Khullem Leikai Jr. High School.	0100901
19.	Sakhi Devi High School.	0100704
20.	Thounaojam Leikai Jr. High School.	0102406
21.	Phoubakchao Makha Primary School.	0300401
22.	Laphupat Tera Jr. High School.	0300101
23.	Laphupat Tera Girls' Primary school.	0300102
24.	Hangul Ahallup Primary School.	0300301
25.	Thongam L.P. School.	0300201
26.	Komlakhong Primary School.	0300502
27.	Mayang Imphal Heigum Yangbi Primary School.	0310701
28.	Bengoon Jr. High School.	0311101
29.	Chabung Company primary school.	0311303
30.	Hayel Primary school.	0303001
31.	Naorem Chaprou Jr. High School.	0307501
32.	Samusang Santipur Primary School.	0303504
33.	Nungthel. Leima Primary school.	0306101
34.	Mayang Imphal Thana Leirensana Jr. High School.	030201
35.	Yumnam Huidrom Primary School.	0306501
36.	Leiphprakpam Makha Leikai Primary School.	0307101
37.	Upokpi Primary School.	0305701
38.	Heinou Khongnembi Primary School.	0304507
39.	Chingtham Leikai Popular Jr. High School.	0304508
40.	Khongnang Pheidekpi Primary School	0301401

Churachandpur District (MDM) ANNEXURE III

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Sl.	Name of the school	No. of days served	Delivery of food grains	Delivery of cooking cost	Menu display	Quality of menu	Quantity of menu	Health card maintained	Status of cook	Kitchen shed	No. of cooks	No. of helper	Potable water	Kitchen Utensils	Fuel	Safety & Hygiene	CPA	Supervision
1	Keirap Aimol H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
2	Leisang Jr. H/S	1	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
3	Vumbuk Jr. H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
4	Sagang H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	H. Pump	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
5	Kangvai H/S	12	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
6	Vungzagen H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
7	Renglerhoi Aided Jr. H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
8	Tokpa Kabui Govt H/S	16	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
9	Phungkhothang H/S	16	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	1(female)	Well	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
10	New Bazar Jr. H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	1(female)	Well	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
11	Ningthiching Jr. H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	1(female)	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
12	J. Phaimol LPS	12	delayed	delayed	No	Poor	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
13	Muallum JB	5	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	1(female)	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
14	Suangpehmun JB	5	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
15	Thanlon H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
16	Rengkai H/S	15	delayed	delayed	Yes	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(male)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Frequently
17	Tuaitengphai Govt. H/S	10	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
18	Saikot H/S	10	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
19	Gandhi Memorial H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
20	Tuibong Govt H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
21	Churchandpur H/S	12	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
22	Hiangdung JBS (Aided)	5	delayed	delayed	No	poor	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Yearly
23	Kumbi pukhri Mapal Jr. H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
24	Leijangphai Govt. H/S	5	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
25	Nipru Khoirentok khunou Jr.H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
26	Thangkai Govt H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
27	Saipum Govt. Jr. H/S	10	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(male)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
28	Mata Maulum P/S	5	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
29	Lanva Model H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
30	Singnhat H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Pond	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
31	INA Memorial H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
32	Vimala Raina H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
33	Samkholal Jr. Model H/S (aided)	10	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
34	Tuiring phaisen govt H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
35	Lamzang H/S	8	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
36	Hill Model H/S	12	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	Yes	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
37	Kha Aimol Jr. H/S	15	delayed	delayed	No	Good	inadequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Rain	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally
38	Molpheitampak Jr. H/S	12	delayed	delayed	No	Good	Adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	1(female)	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No	Occasionally
39	Songdon chehpu JB	12	delayed	delayed	No	Good	adequate	No	ST	No	1(Female)	0	Tape	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No	Occasionally

Imphal West District (MDM) ANNEXURE IV

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Sl.	Name of the school	No. of days served	Delivery of food grains	Delivery of cooking cost	Menu display	Deciding of menu	Quality of menu	Quantity of menu	Health card	Status of cook	Kitchen shed	No. of cooks	Potable water	Sitting arrangements	Kitchen Utensils	Fuel	CPA	Super vision
1	Lamboi Khongnangkhang	20 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	One
2	Khwai Jr. H/S		delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
3	Thounaojam leikai Jr. H/S	20 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	No
4	Tera P/S		delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Poor	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Gas based	No	One
5	Keithelmanbi Jr H/S		delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Poor	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	H. Pump	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	No
6	Khamlangba Jr. H/S	18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	Yes	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	One
7	Loitang Jr. H/S		delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	H. Pump	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	No	One
8	Ibungosana Sanamacha P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Poor	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No
9	Takyel kongbal P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No
10	Phayang Govt. P/S	10 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Bench,Desk	Adequate	Gas based	No	One
11	Khullem Leikai Jr. H/S	10/15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Bench,Desk	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
12	Thounaojam Leikai P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Average	Inadequate	No	General	No	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
13	M G Samarak Govt. Jr. H/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
14	Kachikhul Govt. Jr. H/S	16/18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Poor	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
15	Sakhi Devi H/S	18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
16	Wahengbam Leikai Jr. H/S	18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	No
17	Oinam Thingel P/S	18/20 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	No
18	Ngasi Rastralipi H/S	18/20 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Kerosene	Yes	One
19	Awang Liekinthabi Jr. H/S	11 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Poor	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Well	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	No
20	Kanto Khullen Jr. H/S	18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Spring	Bench,Desk	Adequate	Gas based	No	One
21	Mayang Imphal Heigum Yangbi P/S	10 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	Two
22	Laphupat Tera girls P/S	11/12 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	River	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	No
23	Chingtham Leikai Popular Jr.H/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Bench,Desk	Adequate	Fire wood	No	One
24	Heinoukhongnambi P/S	10 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	One
25	Chabung Company P/S	17/18 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Inadequate	Fire wood	No	No
26	Leiprakpham makha leikei P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	River	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	Two
27	Mayang Imphal Thana Leirensana Jr.H/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	Teaching staff	Good	Inadequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	No	One
28	Hayel P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Bench,Desk	Adequate	Fire wood	No	No
29	Laphupat Tera Jr. H/S	10/11 days	delayed	delayed	No	Cook	Good	Inadequate	No	General	No	1(Female)	River	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	Two
30	Nungthel Leima P/S	16 days	delayed	delayed	No	Cook	Good	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	Two
31	Yumnam Huidrom P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Average	Inadequate	No	General	No	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	Two
32	Naorem chaprou Jr. H/S	10/15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	2(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	Two
33	Upokpi P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/mistress	Good	Inadequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	No
34	Khonang pheidekpi P/S	10/12 days	delayed	delayed	No	student	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	River	Bench,Desk	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	No
35	Phoubakchao Makha P/S	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	OBC	Yes	1(Female)	River	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
36	Samusang Santipur P/S	10 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Poor	Adequate	No	General	No	1(Female)	Tap	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
37	Thongam LPS	15 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Good	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	H. Pump	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
38	Hangul ahallup P/S	17 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	River	Floor	Adequate	Fire wood	Yes	One
39	Komlakhong P/S	18/17 days	delayed	delayed	No	H/master	Average	Adequate	No	General	Yes	1(Female)	River	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	No	No
40	Bengoon Jr. H/S	10 days	delayed	delayed	No	Student	Good	Adequate	No	OBC	No	2(Female)	Pond	Floor	inadequate	Fire wood	Yes	No